## Pages 1 - 6

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable William Alsup, Judge

GOOGLE LLC,

Plaintiff,

VS. NO. C 20-06754-WHA

SONOS, INC.,

Defendant.

AND RELATED CASES.

San Francisco, California Wednesday, May 18, 2022

## TRANSCRIPT OF REMOTE TELECONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES: (Appearances via AT&T Teleconference.)

For Plaintiff:

QUINN, EMANUEL, URQUHART

& SULLIVAN LLP

50 California Street - 22nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111

LINDSAY COOPER, ATTORNEY AT LAW BY:

MELISSA J. BAILY, ATTORNEY AT LAW

For Defendant:

LEE SULLIVAN SHEA & SMITH LLP 656 W Randolph Street - Floor 5W

Chicago, Illinois 60661

BY: SEAN M. SULLIVAN, ATTORNEY AT LAW

Reported By: Ruth Levine Ekhaus, RMR, RDR, FCRR

Official Reporter, CSR No. 12219

## **PROCEEDINGS** Wednesday - May 18, 2022 1 8:01 a.m. 2 PROCEEDINGS ---000---3 THE CLERK: Good morning, Your Honor. I will call the 4 5 case. Court is now in session. The Honorable William Alsup is 6 presiding. 7 Calling Civil Action 21-7559, Sonos, Inc. versus Google 8 LLC, and all related cases. 9 Counsel, please state your appearance for the record 10 beginning with counsel for plaintiff. 11 MS. BAILY: This is Melissa Baily --12 MR. SULLIVAN: This Sean -- go ahead. 13 MS. BAILY: Sorry. I guess it depends who you 14 15 consider the plaintiff. 16 This is Melissa Baily and Linsday Cooper on behalf of 17 Google. 18 THE COURT: Good morning. MR. SULLIVAN: Thank you. And this is Sean Sullivan, 19 20 Your Honor, on behalf of Sonos. 21 THE COURT: All right. Anyone else want to make an

(No response.) 23

22

24

25

appearance?

Okay. Hearing nothing. THE COURT:

Well, good morning, counsel. This is the judge. And this

will be very brief, so you don't have to worry about the time.

I learned something yesterday, or if I already knew it I had forgotten it -- let me go back.

The law clerk that I have working on my IP cases told me that he had worked at Google at some point in the past. And to my mind, that's not a problem because he's been long gone from Google for some years. And that's -- so that was not a problem.

But yesterday I learned, or if I had already known I had forgotten, that he had owned stock and still owns stock in Google, which two weeks ago or so he put into a blind trust.

So I -- now, if I had owned any stock in Google or anybody else, I would be -- even one share, that would be a basis for disqualification for me. But I, years ago, I -- before I even took this job, I got rid of all my individual shares and just have mutual funds. That's not quite true because my wife inherited some, but that's minor. And anyway, mutual funds and bonds are okay under the ethics rules.

However, I bring this to your attention in case somebody wants to bring a motion to disqualify me. This motion will be due in on Friday of next week, May 27th, if you are going to do it; otherwise, it will be deemed waived.

So the -- I want to say one other thing. On the motions that I have ruled on so far, my law clerk in question has helped me. And by that I -- all of the substantive rulings

were my own decisions. They would have come out the same way
regardless of who my law clerk was. And I do not propose to go
back and reargue any of it. It would come out exactly the same
way. I'm positive those were correct and I'm not going to
entertain motions to reconsider or whatever merely because my
law clerk owned a few shares of Google stock at the time I was

working on those and he was assisting me.

I also propose that he's going to continue helping me on this very same case because I don't see any basis for disqualification of the judge on account of his prior ownership. He's put those shares into a blind trust as of two weeks ago.

All right. I'll let you ask me a few questions if any of you have a question or two. But that's basically the story; I don't think there is anything more to say.

First, on the plaintiff's side. Well, let's say on the Sonos side. Go ahead.

MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, Your Honor. This is Sean Sullivan on behalf of Sonos.

Thank you for the disclosure. We appreciate that. I -- I don't really have any questions for you. I think you've made it pretty clear what the issue is. We'll certainly consult with our client and see if the client feels there is a need to file such a motion.

But I don't have any questions for you other than, because

```
this may impact things, a question about the schedule.
 1
    particular, you know, we have a motion on file with Your Honor
 2
     about continuing the trial date, due to some conflicts for our
 3
     expert. And with things progressing now and getting closer to
 4
 5
     that trial, if we were to file such a motion, I don't know how
     that would impact things. So I don't know if Your Honor has
 6
 7
     any insight about whether or not we may get the trial pushed
    back or not.
 8
              THE COURT: Well, I quess I had -- I don't -- that's a
 9
     different problem. That's -- your expert's a different
10
11
    problem. And I don't have an answer for you right now.
                                                              And my
     initial impression was it's his own fault for setting the --
12
    not making room on his calendar for the trial, but I haven't
13
     ruled on that yet. And I am not making a ruling on that.
14
          But my law clerk is going to be helping me with that.
15
     If -- so if you want to bring a motion to disqualify me,
16
17
     that's -- you know, that's fine. You go ahead.
          But I am not ruling on your schedule for the trial right
18
         The trial is set. For goodness sakes, this is a trial.
19
20
     You lawyers, nobody ever wants to go to trial; you just want to
     litigate. We're going to trial, most likely, in July. I think
21
     it's July, so -- but I have -- I have -- I do have to rule on
22
```

All right. Anything else on your side?

MR. SULLIVAN: No, Your Honor.

23

24

25

that motion.

1	THE COURT: All right. How about on the Google side?
2	MS. BAILY: Nothing from us, Your Honor. Thank you.
3	THE COURT: All right. May 27th is the deadline to
4	bring any motion to disqualify based on what I have just told
5	you.
6	MS. BAILY: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Otherwise, it's waived. All right.
8	Thank you. That's all I have.
9	Good luck to both sides. Bye-bye.
10	THE CLERK: Court is adjourned.
11	(Proceedings adjourned at 8:08 a.m.)
12	00
13	
14	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
15	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
16	from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
1 77	
17	
18	DATE: Friday, May 20, 2022
	DATE: Friday, May 20, 2022
18	DATE: Friday, May 20, 2022
18 19	
18 19 20	DATE: Friday, May 20, 2022
18 19 20 21	Ruth Levine Ekhaus, RMR, RDR, FCRR, CSR No. 12219
18 19 20 21 22	Kuth home A